Network Science: Clustered models for network formation

Ozalp Babaoglu Dipartimento di Informatica — Scienza e Ingegneria Università di Bologna www.cs.unibo.it/babaoglu/

Clustered models

- How to extend the ER model to be a better predictor of real network properties
- First, address the poor prediction of clustering
- ER model ignorant of current network structure in adding edges all edges have exactly the same probability of appearing in the network regardless of their position
- In real networks, the formation of edges is often highly biased
- Bias towards connecting friends of friends

© Babao

© Babaoo

Clustered models

- In social networks, people introduce their friends to each other
- People that have common friends have more occasions to meet each other and become friends themselves — *triadic closure*
- People who have common friends often also have common interests *homophily*
- First idea: select edges randomly, but with a bias towards friends of friends
- The more common neighbors two nodes share, the more likely they will be connected

Clustered models The α model

- Bias the connections towards nodes that have common neighbors
- For some arbitrary pair of nodes, let *x* denote the number of neighbors they currently have in common
- Let y be the probability of adding an edge between a pair of nodes that have x common neighbors
- Assume that $y \sim p + (x/n)^{\alpha}$ for some constants p and α

© Babaogli







Clustered models Watts-Strogatz

- Clustering coefficient of *K*-regular lattice is $\frac{3(K-2)}{4(K-1)}$
- Converges to 3/4 in the limit for large K
- Average path length for a *d*-dimensional hypercube scales as $n^{1/d}$ which grows much faster than logarithmic
- For the WS model, the clustering coefficient is

$$\frac{3K(K-1)}{2K(2K-1) + 8qK^2 + 4q^2K^2}$$

• While the average path length is

$$\frac{n^{1/d}}{K}f(qKn$$

where f(qKn) is a universal scaling function

© Babaoglu