## Complex Systems and Network Science: MODELS

Ozalp Babaoglu Dipartimento di Informatica — Scienza e Ingegneria Università di Bologna www.cs.unibo.it/babaoglu/

#### Why model?

- Models are abstractions of reality that serve two purposes:
- Explain observed (past) behaviors
- Predict unobserved (future) or unobservable behaviors
- Models help us
- understand the world we live in
- understand and use data by turning it into knowledge
- make better decisions and designs
- become better citizens (models are everywhere)
- What can be modeled?
- Just about anything

© Babacol

© Babaco

#### Why model?

- In our daily lives, we rely on sophisticated *mental models* to perform many tasks: walk, ride a bicycle, drive a car, avoid collisions, hit a tennis ball, etc.
- These models are able to incorporate not only the *physical world* (Newtonian mechanics) but also *economic*, *social*, *cultural clues*

#### Why model?



© Babaogli

#### Why model?



C Babacoli

## Tension between compactness and fidelity

Sylvie and Bruno Concluded: The Man in the Moon, by Lewis Carroll, 1889

"That's another thing we've learned from your Nation," said Mein Herr, "map-making. But we've carried it much further than you. What do you consider the largest map that would be really useful?"

"About six inches to the mile."

"Only six inches!" exclaimed Mein Herr. "We very soon got to six yards to the mile. Then we tried a hundred yards to the mile. And then came the grandest idea of all! We actually made a map of the country, on the scale of a mile to the mile!"

"Have you used it much?" I enquired.

"It has never been spread out, yet," said Mein Herr: "the farmers objected: they said it would cover the whole country, and shut out the sunlight! So we now use the country itself, as its own map, and I assure you it does nearly as well.

#### Why model?

- To be useful, a model has to be *compact* and *simple* while maintaining *fidelity* to what is being modeled
- Abstract away the unnecessary details yet maintain the essence
- "Everything should be made as simple as possible, but no simpler" Albert Einstein (1933)
- "Essentially, all models are wrong, but some are useful" *George Box* (1987)

#### Well-known models: Geographic maps



© Babaco

C Babac





#### 1-Dimensional CA



#### State transitions (Look-up table for r=1)



#### Wolfram canonical enumeration

- With a binary state and radius r = 1, there are  $2^{23}=256$  possible CAs
- Read off the final state column of the look-up table as a binary number
- Each possible CA identified through an integer 0-255

© Babacolu

© Babaoglu

#### Wolfram canonical enumeration



Rule = 30

© Babaoglu

19

C Babacol

#### Wolfram canonical enumeration



Rule = 110

C Babaool

#### Wolfram's classification

- Class I: Nearly all initial patterns evolve quickly into a stable, homogeneous state (fixed point)
- Class II: Nearly all initial patterns evolve quickly into stable or oscillating structures (periodic)

© Babaoo

© Babacol

- Class III: Nearly all initial patterns evolve in a pseudo-random or chaotic manner (chaotic)
- Class IV: Nearly all initial patterns evolve into structures that interact in complex and interesting ways (complex capable of universal computation)

#### Wolfram's classification: Class I



#### Wolfram's classification: Class II





#### Langdon's $\lambda$ metric

- Seek a compact characterization of the CA behavior class
- Count the number of "ones" in the look-up table final state column



#### Langdon's $\lambda$ metric

λ	All Rules	Class III	Class IV	Normalized $\lambda$
0	1	0	0	0
1	8	0	0	0,125
2	28	2	0	0,25
З	56	4	1	0,375
4	70	20	4	0,5
5	56	4	1	0,625
6	28	2	0	0,75
7	8	0	0	0,875
8	1	0	0	1

## Wolfram's classification and normalized Langdon's $\lambda$ metric



#### Conway's "Game of Life"

2-Dimensional Cellular Automata

© Babaoo

© Babaoo

- Developed by British mathematician John Conway
- Made famous by Martin Gardner in his "Mathematical Games" column in Scientific American of October 1970



© Babaogli

© Babaog









# CA and universal computation Game of life is equivalent to a computation Both Conway's game of life and CA rule 110 are capable of universal computation Prove by showing that

- Game of Life or CA 110 are equivalent to a Turing Machine
- basic logical operators needed for universal computation can be constructed using Game of Life or CA 110

#### Logical operators from "Game of Life"

- Construct basic logical operators using Game of Life
- Building blocks:

C Babaook

C Babaook



- $\square$  Glider or Fish Eater
  - Data Stream
    - $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{X}}$  Collision

#### CA and universal computation

• Game of life is equivalent to a Turing Machine (by construction)





NetLogo GameOfLife
 <sup>® Bebecolu</sup>

51

#### Logical operators from "Game of Life"



### CA and universal computation

• CAs as "universal computers" are not practical

© Babaoo

• Yet, CAs have been used to perform special-purpose, practical parallel computations such as image processing

#### CA and complex systems

- Idealized models that are capable of producing complex behavior from very simple rules
- Natural complex systems can be modeled using CAs

C Babaoo

C Babaook

• CAs allow us to understand how complex dynamics can produce collective "information processing" in a decentralized system