Reversible concurrent systems

Ivan Lanese Computer Science and Engineering Department Focus research group University of Bologna/INRIA

> GNCS project Sistemi Reversibili Concorrenti: dai Modelli ai Linguaggi

Roadmap

- Reversible computing
- Debugging Erlang programs
- Petri nets vs event structures
- Conclusion



Roadmap

Reversible computing

- Debugging Erlang programs
- Petri nets vs event structures
- Conclusion



The possibility of executing a computation both in the standard, forward direction, and in the backward direction, going back to a past state

- In some areas systems are naturally reversible: biology, quantum computing, ...
- In other areas making systems reversible can be useful
 - Undo option in text editors
 - Debugging, robotics, ...

Reversibility in concurrent systems

- Reversibility in a sequential setting: recursively undo the last action
- In concurrent systems execution of different actions may overlap in time
 - No uniquely defined last action
 - Actions form a partial order, not necessarily a total order
- Different approaches to reversibility exist
- We follow causal-consistent reversibility [Danos & Krivine, CONCUR 2004]

Causal-consistent reversibility

- Based on causality instead of time
- Causal dependencies must be respected
 - First reverse the consequences, then the causes
- Independent actions are reversed independently



Reversibility in our project

- We considered many aspects of reversibility, both foundational and applicative
- In the talk I will focus on two contributions
 - How to apply causal-consistent reversibility to the debugging of Erlang programs?
 - Ongoing effort since many years
 - By myself, C. Sacerdoti Coen, G. Vidal, ...
 - How to extend the relation between event structures and Petri nets to the reversible setting?
 - By C. A. Mezzina, G. M. Pinna, E. Melgratti, I. Ulidowski

Roadmap

• Reversible computing

Debugging Erlang programs

• Petri nets vs event structures

Conclusion



Debugging

 Debugging amounts to find the wrong line of code (bug) causing a visible misbehavior



- The bug precedes and causes the misbehavior
 - Quite natural to use reversibility to go back from the misbehavior to the bug

Reversible debugging

- Sequential reversible debugging is well understood
 Gdb (since 2009), Microsoft time-travel debugger, ...
- Concurrent reversible debugging not so developed
 - Most approaches just linearize the execution
 - Causal information is lost
- Can we use causal-consistent reversibility?

Causal-consistent debugging

- Introduced in [Giachino, Lanese & Mezzina, FASE 2014]
- Allows one to explore a concurrent computation back and forward
 - Any action can be undone provided its consequences have been undone beforehand
- Which action to undo can be selected by the user or by a scheduler
- But we can do better

Debugging and causality

- Standard debugging procedure:
 - 1. Observing an unexpected behavior
 - 2. Finding in the code the instruction that caused it
 - 3. Correcting the instruction
- Causal-consistent reversibility naturally tracks lot of causal information
- This information can be used to drive step 2 above
- Debugging strategy: follow causality links backward from the misbehavior to the bug
- We can use the roll operator to this end

Causal-consistent debugging: roll

- The roll operator allows one to undo a selected past action, including all and only its consequences
- Minimal set of undos needed to undo the selected action in a causal-consistent way
- Many interfaces for it:
 - N actions in a given process
 - Last assignment to a given variable
 - Send of a given message

Causal-consistent roll at work

- The programmer executes the program and finds some unexpected behavior
- The roll allows him to find automatically the instruction that immediately caused the misbehavior
- Two possibilities:
 - The found instruction is wrong: bug found
 - The found instruction gets wrong data from previous instructions: iterate
- One can explore the tree of causes, navigating from one process to the other

CauDEr



- Causal-consistent Debugger for Erlang
- Applies the approach outlined above to a fragment of Erlang
 - Functional and concurrent language
 - Based on message passing
 - Used in mainstream applications such as some versions of Facebook chat
- https://github.com/mistupv/cauder-v2
- Support for further (non trivial) constructs in Erlang has been added during the project

CauDEr interface

🐹 💉		CauDE	ir			_	_ ~ ^ <u>×</u>
File Edit V	/iew Run Help						
Code 20 {read,Pid} -> Pid!Val end,			Actions Process				
21 va 22 23 increme	<pre>varManager(Val). ementer(MePid,XPid) -> MePid!{request,self()}, receive answer -> VPid!{read self()}</pre>		🏃 PID: 9	🟃 PID: 99 - meViolation:incrementer/2			
24 Mel 25 rec			Manual	Automa	atic Replay	Rollback	
27 28 29	receive X -> XPid!{wri MePid!{re	te,X+1}, lease} end end.		Steps:	1	Roll steps	
30			_	PID:		Roll spawn	
98 ! {read, 99}				Msg. Uid:	3	Roll send	
Process Info Bindings	Process Info Bindings Stack			Msg. Uid:		Roll receive	
Name	Value	receive meViolation:incrementer/2		Var.		Roll variable	
XPid	98		System Info Mail				
			Dest.	Value		UID	
			97	97 {request,100} 2			
Log H send(3) re rec(4) send(5) send(6)		History rec(answer,1) send({request,99},0)					
			Trace Roll se Roll se	RollLog end from Pr end from Pr	oc. 99 of {re oc. 97 of ans	lease} to Proc. wer to Proc. 10	97 (6)
			-				

Rolled back sending of message with UID: 3

Ln 26, Col 1 Alive 4, Dead 1

Roadmap

- Reversible computing
- Debugging Erlang programs
- Petri nets vs event structures
- Conclusion



Petri nets

- Operational model for concurrency
- Based on tokens that enable transitions
- The one in figure is actually an occurrence net (ON)
 - No cycles and max 1 token per place
 - It is always clear where a token comes from
 - Plays well with reversibility
 - Can represent any net via unfolding



Event structures

- Denotational model for concurrency
- Based on events and relations among them
- These are actually prime event structures (PES)
 - Only causation and conflict relations
 - Variants have other relations
- Prime event structures correspond to occurrence nets
 - Classical result by Winskel



- Extend PES with reversibility
 - Not only causal-consistent
- Not all the events need to be reversible
- Introduce:
 - Reverse causality <: a forward event is a cause of a backward one
 - Prevention ▷: a forward event forbids a backward one

From ON to reversible ON

 Adding reverse transitions in ON gives rise to causalconsistent reversibility



Modeling rPES with Petri nets

- We need to introduce inhibitor arcs
- Inhibitor arcs can model causality as well
- We can reduce to "flat" nets
- We call them Causal Nets (CN)
- Reversible Causal Nets (rCN) extend causal nets with reverse actions and additional inhibitor arcs



From ON to CN



a # b a < c a # c

Graphical summary of results



Roadmap

- Reversible computing
- Debugging Erlang programs
- Petri nets vs event structures
- Conclusion



Other contributions

- Understanding the interplay between reversibility and time
- Developing techniques for axiomatic reasoning on reversible processes
- Understanding reversibility in Markov chains

Conclusion

- Reversibility is a niche area with many possible applications and open questions
- Studied by both computer scientists and mathematicians (and not only)
- If curious, Reversible Computation conference will be held in Urbino, on July 5-6
 - Online participation will also be allowed

