

On Context Bisimulation for Parameterized Higher-order Processes

Xian Xu

East China University of Science and Technology

June, 2013

All processes in this talk are higher-order.

Parameterized higher-order processes are the subject of this talk.

What is parameterization?

It comprises **abstraction** and **application** (like those in lambda-calculus).

What is parameterization?

It comprises **abstraction** and **application** (like those in lambda-calculus).

Two types of parameterization extending Π

- **Π** : $0 \mid X \mid u(X).P \mid \bar{u}P'.P \mid P \mid P' \mid (c)P$

What is parameterization?

It comprises **abstraction** and **application** (like those in lambda-calculus).

Two types of parameterization extending Π

- Π : $0 \mid X \mid u(X).P \mid \bar{u}P'.P \mid P \mid P' \mid (c)P$

- Π_n^D : parameterization on **processes**

Higher-order abstraction: $\langle X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \rangle P \quad (\lambda X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n. P)$

Application: $P \langle Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_n \rangle$

(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are **process variables**)

What is parameterization?

It comprises **abstraction** and **application** (like those in lambda-calculus).

Two types of parameterization extending Π

- Π : $0 \mid X \mid u(X).P \mid \bar{u}P'.P \mid P \mid P' \mid (c)P$
- Π_n^D : parameterization on **processes**
 Higher-order abstraction: $\langle X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \rangle P \quad (\lambda X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n.P)$
 Application: $P\langle Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_n \rangle$
 (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are **process variables**)
- Π_n^d : parameterization on **names**
 First-order abstraction: $\langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle P$
 Application: $P\langle u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n \rangle$
 (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are **name variables**)

Main result

- Π_n^D : normal bisimulation characterizes context bisimulation
- Π_n^d : similar technique cannot be applied
(no obvious characterization is known)

Organization

- BACKGROUND
- RESULTS ON Π_n^D
- RESULTS ON Π_n^d
- DISCUSSION

1 Background

Context bisimulation

$$P \approx Q:$$

Input, τ : $P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'$ implies $Q \xrightarrow{\hat{\alpha}} Q'$ s.t. $P' \approx Q'$

Output: $P \xrightarrow{(\tilde{c})\bar{a}A} P'$ implies

$$Q \xrightarrow{(\tilde{d})\bar{a}B} Q' \quad \text{s.t. } \forall E[X]. \quad \boxed{(\tilde{c})(E[A] \mid P') \approx (\tilde{d})(E[B] \mid Q')}$$

In Π : normal bisimulation **simplifies** context bisimulation [San92,94]

E.g. $P \cong Q$

Output: $P \xrightarrow{(\tilde{c})\bar{a}A} P'$ implies
 $Q \xrightarrow{(\tilde{d})\bar{a}B} Q'$ s.t. $\boxed{(\tilde{c})(P' | !m.A) \cong (\tilde{d})(Q' | !m.B)}$ (m fresh)

Comparing the output clauses

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (\tilde{c})(P' \mid !m.A) & \cdots \overset{\mathbb{R}}{\cdots} & (\tilde{d})(Q' \mid !m.B) \\
 \vdots & & \vdots \\
 R_1 \stackrel{def}{=} (\tilde{c})(P' \mid (m)(E[Tr_m] \mid !m.A)) & \cdots \overset{\approx}{\cdots} & (\tilde{d})(Q' \mid (m)(E[Tr_m] \mid !m.B)) \stackrel{def}{=} R_2 \\
 \vdots \overset{\approx}{\vdots} & & \vdots \overset{\approx}{\vdots} \\
 (\tilde{c})(P' \mid E[A]) & \cdots \overset{\approx}{\cdots} & (\tilde{d})(Q' \mid E[B])
 \end{array}$$

Comparing the output clauses

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (\tilde{c})(P' | !m.A) & \cdots \overset{\mathbb{R}}{\cdots} & (\tilde{d})(Q' | !m.B) \\
 \vdots & & \vdots \\
 R_1 \stackrel{def}{=} (\tilde{c})(P' | (m)(E[Tr_m] | !m.A)) & \cdots \overset{\approx}{\cdots} & (\tilde{d})(Q' | (m)(E[Tr_m] | !m.B)) \stackrel{def}{=} R_2 \\
 \vdots \overset{\approx}{\vdots} & & \vdots \overset{\approx}{\vdots} \\
 (\tilde{c})(P' | E[A]) & \cdots \overset{\approx}{\cdots} & (\tilde{d})(Q' | E[B])
 \end{array}$$

\approx : Factorization property

$$E[A] \approx (m)(E[Tr_m] | !m.A)$$

$$Tr_m \stackrel{def}{=} \overline{m}.0 \text{ (trigger)}$$

Now, we move on to Π_n^D and Π_n^d .

Transplant the characterization technique to Π_n^D and Π_n^d ?

Π_n^D : YES Π_n^d : NO

Now, we move on to Π_n^D and Π_n^d .

Transplant the characterization technique to Π_n^D and Π_n^d ?

Π_n^D : YES Π_n^d : NO

Two points:

- Factorization: $E[A] \approx (m)(E[Tr_m] | !m.A)$? A can be an **abstraction**
- Processes are **strictly higher-order** (e.g. abstraction-passing)

2 Results on Π_n^D

Factorization in Π_n^D

Trigger: $Tr_m \stackrel{def}{=} \langle Z \rangle \bar{m}Z$

A, B : abstraction, $E[X]$: non-abstraction, m : fresh.

$$E[A] \approx (m)(E[Tr_m] \mid !m(Z).A\langle Z \rangle)$$

Factorization in Π_n^D

Trigger: $Tr_m \stackrel{def}{=} \langle Z \rangle \bar{m}Z$

A, B : abstraction, $E[X]$: non-abstraction, m : fresh.

$$E[A] \approx (m)(E[Tr_m] \mid !m(Z).A\langle Z \rangle)$$

Similar if $E[X]$ is an abstraction.

Normal bisimulation in Π_n^D

$P \cong Q$:

Output: $P \xrightarrow{(\tilde{c})\bar{a}A} P'$ implies $Q \xrightarrow{(\tilde{d})\bar{a}B} Q'$ s.t.

$$(\tilde{c})(P' \mid !m(Z).A\langle Z \rangle) \cong (\tilde{d})(Q' \mid !m(Z).B\langle Z \rangle) \quad (m \text{ fresh})$$

Normal bisimulation in Π_n^D

$P \cong Q$:

$\tau: P \xrightarrow{\tau} P'$ implies $Q \Longrightarrow Q'$ s.t. $P' \cong Q'$;

Input: $P \xrightarrow{a(Tr_m)} P'$ (m fresh) implies $Q \xrightarrow{a(Tr_m)} Q'$ s.t. $P' \cong Q'$;

Output: $P \xrightarrow{(\tilde{c})\bar{a}A} P'$ implies $Q \xrightarrow{(\tilde{d})\bar{a}B} Q'$ s.t.

$$(\tilde{c})(P' | !m(Z).A\langle Z \rangle) \cong (\tilde{d})(Q' | !m(Z).B\langle Z \rangle) \quad (m \text{ fresh})$$

Theorem 1. In Π_n^D , normal bisimilarity (\cong) coincides with context bisimilarity (\approx).

3 Results on Π_n^d

Failure in Π_n^d

Failure of Trigger: $Tr_m \stackrel{def}{=} \langle z \rangle \bar{m}z$ is impossible.

Failure of Factorization: $E[A] \approx (m)(E[Tr_m] | !m(z).A\langle z \rangle)$ is unavailable.

Failure in Π_n^d

Failure of Trigger: $Tr_m \stackrel{def}{=} \langle z \rangle \bar{m}z$ is **impossible**.

Failure of Factorization: $E[A] \approx (m)(E[Tr_m] \mid !m(z).A\langle z \rangle)$ is **unavailable**.

There is no way to define normal bisimulation (at least in its original sense).

Crux: purely higher-order; no name-passing (at least in a direct manner)

So the method of normal bisimulation cannot be extended to Π_n^d .

4 Conclusion

- A separation between Π_n^D and Π_n^d concerning the normal technique (sheds light on their expressiveness)
- calls for an alternative (simple) characterization for Π_n^d

4 Conclusion

- A separation between Π_n^D and Π_n^d concerning the normal technique (sheds light on their expressiveness)
- calls for an alternative (simple) characterization for Π_n^d

Further direction:

- In Π_n^D , the **strong** versions of normal bisimulation and context bisimulation also coincide.
- In Π_n^d , examine the **expressiveness**.
E.g. comparing with (first-order) π -calculus.
To collect clue: to what extent it can 'simulate' name-passing.

Thank you