

# Lambda-Calculus and Type Theory

## *Part I*

Ugo Dal Lago



ALMA MATER STUDIORUM  
UNIVERSITÀ DI BOLOGNA

*inria*  
informatiques mathématiques

Scuola Estiva AILA, Gargnano, August 2018

# Preterms

- ▶ Let  $\Upsilon$  denote a countably infinite set of symbols, called *variables*.
- ▶ A *preterm* is an expression derived by way of the following grammar:

$$M ::= x \mid (MM) \mid (\lambda x M).$$

where  $x \in \Upsilon$ .

- ▶ We use the following notational conventions:
  1. The outermost parentheses in a preterm are omitted.
  2. Application associates to the left;  $((MN)L)$  is abbreviated  $(MNL)$ .
  3. Abstraction associates to the right:  $(\lambda x(\lambda y M))$  is abbreviated  $(\lambda x \lambda y M)$ .
  4. A sequence of abstractions  $(\lambda x_1(\lambda x_2 \dots (\lambda x_n M) \dots))$  can be written as  $(\lambda x_1 \dots x_n.M)$ .

## Substitution on Preterms

- ▶ Define the set  $FV(M)$  of *free variables* of  $M$  as follows:

$$FV(x) = \{x\};$$

$$FV(\lambda x M) = FV(M) - \{x\};$$

$$FV(MN) = FV(M) \cup FV(N).$$

- ▶ An *occurrence* of a term  $M$  in another term  $N$  is a subexpression of  $N$  which is syntactically equal to  $M$ .
- ▶ The *substitution* of  $N$  for  $x$  in  $M$ , written  $M[x := N]$ , is defined iff no free occurrence of  $x$  in  $M$  is in an occurrence of a term  $\lambda y L$  in  $M$ , where  $y \in FV(N)$ :

$$x[x := N] = N;$$

$$y[x := N] = y;$$

$$(PQ)[x := N] = P[x := N]Q[x := N];$$

$$(\lambda x P)[x := N] = \lambda x P;$$

$$(\lambda y P)[x := N] = \lambda y P[x := N].$$

## $\alpha$ -Equivalence

- ▶ The relation  $=_\alpha$  (aka  $\alpha$ -conversion) is the smallest transitive and reflexive relation on preterms satisfying the following:
  - ▶ If  $y \notin FV(M)$  and  $M[x := y]$  is defined, then  $\lambda x M =_\alpha \lambda y M[x := y]$ ;
  - ▶ If  $M =_\alpha N$ , then  $\lambda x M =_\alpha \lambda x N$  for all variable  $x$ ;
  - ▶ If  $M =_\alpha N$ , then  $ML =_\alpha NL$  and  $LM =_\alpha LN$ .

### Lemma

*The relation  $=_\alpha$  is an equivalence relation.*

### Lemma

*If  $M =_\alpha N$  and  $L =_\alpha P$ , then  $M[x := L] =_\alpha N[x := P]$ , provided both sides are defined.*

### Lemma

*For all  $M, N$  and for every variable  $x$ , there exists  $L$  such that  $M =_\alpha L$  and the substitution  $L[x := N]$  is defined.*

# Terms

- ▶ Define the set  $\Lambda$  of  $\lambda$ -terms as the quotient set of  $=_\alpha$  over preterms:

$$\Lambda = \{[M]_\alpha \mid M \text{ is a preterm}\},$$

where  $[M]_\alpha = \{N \mid M =_\alpha N\}$ .

- ▶ For  $\lambda$ -terms  $M$  and  $N$ , we define the *substitution*  $M[x := N]$  as follows: let  $M = [L]_\alpha$  and  $N = [P]_\alpha$  where  $Q = L[x := P]$  is defined, then  $M[x := N]$  is  $[Q]_\alpha$ .
- ▶ Substitution is well-defined but also a *total* operation.
- ▶ In the following:
  - ▶ We will work with **terms**.
  - ▶ But we will give definitions and proofs following the structure of the underlying **preterms**, being sure that what we do “makes sense”. We will thus use the following notation for  $\lambda$ -terms:

$$\begin{aligned}LP &= [MN]_\alpha \text{ where } L = [M]_\alpha \text{ and } P = [N]_\alpha \\ \lambda xL &= [\lambda xP]_\alpha \text{ where } L = [P]_\alpha \\ x &= [x]_\alpha\end{aligned}$$

## $\beta$ -Reduction

- ▶ A relation  $\succ$  on  $\Lambda$  is *compatible* iff it satisfies the following two conditions for all  $M, N, L \in \Lambda$ :
  1. If  $M \succ N$  then  $\lambda x M \succ \lambda x N$  for every  $x$ .
  2. If  $M \succ N$  then  $ML \succ NL$  and  $LM \succ LN$ .
- ▶ The least compatible relation  $\rightarrow_\beta$  on  $\Lambda$  such that

$$(\lambda x M)N \rightarrow_\beta M[x := N]$$

is called  $\beta$ -reduction.

- ▶ Any term of the form  $(\lambda x M)N$  is called a  $\beta$ -*redex*, and  $M[x := N]$  is the result of *contracting* the redex.
- ▶ A  $\beta$ -normal form is a term  $M \in \Lambda$  such that there is no  $N$  with  $M \rightarrow_\beta N$ . The set of normal forms is  $NF_\beta$ .
- ▶ A  $\beta$ -*reduction sequence* is any finite or infinite sequence of terms  $M_0, M_1, \dots$  such that

$$M_0 \rightarrow_\beta M_1 \rightarrow_\beta M_2 \rightarrow_\beta \dots$$

- ▶ The transitive and reflexive closure of  $\rightarrow_\beta$  is indicated with  $\twoheadrightarrow_\beta$ .

# Confluence

- ▶ Given any relation  $\succ$ , its transitive closure is indicated as  $\succ^+$ , while its reflexive and transitive closure is indicated as  $\succ^*$ .
- ▶ A relation  $\succ$  is said to be *confluent* iff for every  $M, N, L$ , the following holds

$$M \succ^* N \wedge M \succ^* L \quad \text{implies} \quad \exists P. N \succ^* P \wedge L \succ^* P$$

## Theorem

*The relation  $\rightarrow_\beta$  on  $\Lambda$  is confluent.*

## Corollary

*If  $M \rightarrow_\beta N$  and  $M \rightarrow_\beta L$  where  $N, L \in NF_\beta$ , then  $N = L$ .*

# Proof of Confluence: The Main Ingredients

- ▶ The relation  $\Rightarrow_\beta$  is the least relation on  $\Lambda$  such that:
  1.  $x \Rightarrow_\beta x$  for every variable  $x$ ;
  2. If  $M \Rightarrow_\beta N$ , then  $\lambda x M \Rightarrow_\beta \lambda x N$ ;
  3. If  $M \Rightarrow_\beta N$  and  $L \Rightarrow_\beta P$ , then  $ML \Rightarrow_\beta NP$ ;
  4. If  $M \Rightarrow_\beta N$  and  $L \Rightarrow_\beta P$ , then  $(\lambda x M)L \Rightarrow_\beta N[x := P]$ .
- ▶ The *complete development* of a term  $M$  is defined as follows:

$$x^\dagger = x$$

$$(\lambda x M)^\dagger = \lambda x M^\dagger$$

$$(MN)^\dagger = M^\dagger N^\dagger \text{ if } M \text{ is not an abstraction;}$$

$$((\lambda x M)N)^\dagger = M^\dagger[x := N^\dagger]$$

## Proposition

If  $M \Rightarrow_\beta N$ , then  $N \Rightarrow_\beta M^\dagger$ .



## Normalization

- ▶ A term  $M$  is *normalizing* iff  $M \twoheadrightarrow_{\beta} N$ , where  $N \in NF_{\beta}$ . In that case  $N$  is said to be *the normal form* of  $M$ . The set of normalizing terms is  $WN_{\beta}$ .
- ▶ A term  $M$  is said to be *strongly normalizing* iff all reduction sequences starting at  $M$  are finite. The set of strongly normalizing terms is  $SN_{\beta}$ .
- ▶ A *reduction strategy*  $F$  is a map from  $\Lambda$  to  $\Lambda$  such that  $F(M) = M$  whenever  $M \in NF_{\beta}$ , and  $M \rightarrow_{\beta} F(M)$  otherwise.
- ▶ A reduction strategy  $F$  is *normalizing* iff for every normalizing  $M$  there is a natural number  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $F^n(M) \in NF_{\beta}$ .
- ▶ In the *leftmost reduction strategy*  $L : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ ,  $L(M)$  is obtained by contracting the leftmost redex in  $M$ , if any.

### Theorem

$L$  is a normalizing reduction strategy.

# Expressiveness

- ▶ The boolean values  $T$  and  $F$  can be encoded as  $\lambda$ -terms as follows:

$$\bar{T} := \lambda xy.x \qquad \bar{F} := \lambda xy.y$$

- ▶ If  $M, N \in \Lambda$ , then  $\langle M, N \rangle$  stands for the term  $\lambda x(xMN)$ , where  $x \notin FV(M) \cup FV(N)$ .
- ▶ Any natural number  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  can be encoded as follows:

$$\bar{n} := \lambda xy.x^n y$$

where  $x^n(y)$  stands for  $x(x(\cdots(y)\cdots))$ , with  $n$  occurrences of  $x$ .

- ▶ A partial function  $f : \mathbb{N}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is  $\lambda$ -definable iff there is  $M_f \in \Lambda$  such that:
  1. If  $f(n_1, \dots, n_k) = m$ , then  $M_f \bar{n}_1 \cdots \bar{n}_k \rightarrow_{\beta} \bar{m}$ .
  2. If  $f(n_1, \dots, n_k)$  is undefined, then  $M_f \bar{n}_1 \cdots \bar{n}_k$  has no normal form.

# Universality

## Theorem

*The  $\lambda$ -definable functions are precisely the partial recursive ones.*

- ▶ Some functions and operators which are useful are the following ones:

**if**  $M$  **then**  $N$  **else**  $L := MNL$ ;

$\pi_1 := \lambda x(x\overline{T})$ ;

$\pi_2 := \lambda x(x\overline{F})$ ;

**zero?**  $:= \lambda x.x(\lambda y\overline{F})\overline{T}$ .

## Corollary

*The problem of checking whether  $M$  has a normal form is undecidable.*