Type Inference in Intuitionistic Linear Logic

Patrick Baillot Martin Hofmann

LIP- CNRS & ENS Lyon LMU Munich

(to appear in PPDP 2010)

10/6/2010 Torino, Concerto-Pics meeting

Introduction

- linear logic (ILL) has inspired linear type systems (e.g. Wadler'91, Mackie'94 ...)
- automatic type inference ?
- linear decoration of intuitionistic derivations : Danos-Joinet-Shellinx94 but does not provide all ILL derivations we are looking for
- related issue: type inference in light logics

system	EAL	DLAL	ILL
constraints	linear inequations	mixed boolean/linear	?
		constraints	
inference	Р	Р	?
	[BT05]	[ABT07]	

System F

System F terms will be our source language. Types:

$$\mathsf{A} ::= \alpha \mid \mathsf{A}_1 \to \mathsf{A}_2 \mid \forall \alpha. \mathsf{A}$$

Church-style lambda terms:

$$t ::= x \mid t_1 t_2 \mid \lambda x : A \cdot t \mid t[A] \mid \Lambda \alpha \cdot t$$

FV(t): free term variables, FTV(T), FTV(t): free type variables. Typing rules for system F

$$\frac{\overline{\Gamma, x: A \vdash x: A} (F-Var)}{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : A \to B \qquad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : A} (F-App) \qquad \qquad \frac{\Gamma, x: A \vdash t: B}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x: A.t: A \to B} (F-Lam) \\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash t: A \qquad \alpha \notin FTV(\Gamma)}{\Gamma \vdash \Lambda \alpha.t: \forall \alpha.A} (F-TLam) \qquad \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t: \forall \alpha.A}{\Gamma \vdash t[B] : A[B/\alpha]} (F-TApp)$$

Linear System F: LLF

LLF types:

$$T ::= \alpha \mid T_1 \multimap T_2 \mid \forall \alpha. T \mid !T$$

|.| maps LLF types to system F types: forgetting ! and replacing —o by $\rightarrow.$

Typing rules for LLF

$$\frac{1}{x:T \vdash x:T} (LLF-Var)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x:S \vdash t:T}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x: |S|.t:S \multimap T} (LLF-Lam)$$

/··· - · / ·

$$\frac{\Gamma, x_1 : !S, x_2 : !S \vdash t : T}{\Gamma, x : !S \vdash t : T} (\mathsf{LLF-Contr})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : T}{!\Gamma \vdash t : !T} (\mathsf{LLF-Prom})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : !T}{\Gamma \vdash t : T} (\mathsf{LLF-Der})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : S \multimap T \quad \Delta \vdash t_2 : S}{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash t_1 t_2 : T} (\mathsf{LLF-App})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : T}{\Gamma, x: S \vdash t : T} (\mathsf{LLF-Weak})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : !T}{\Gamma \vdash t : !!T} (\mathsf{LLF-Dig})$$

Rules for \forall TLam and TApp are unchanged.

Type checking and type inference for LLF

Type checking for LLF: Given t, T, Γ is $\Gamma \vdash t : T$ a valid LLF-judgement?

Type inference for LLF: Given a system F type A, context Δ and term t, find a concise description of the set of LLF types T and contexts Γ with $\Gamma \vdash t : T$ and |T| = A, $|\Gamma| = \Delta$. Towards an algorithmic typing system

Goal: remove non syntax-directed rules.

Key issue: LLF-Cut rule.

 \rightarrow decompose LLF-Prom rule (box) into more basic rules.

For that we need to carry an extra piece of information: natural integers (allow to retrieve the depth).

close to [GuerriniMartiniMasini98] (2-sequents), [MartiniMasini95] also related to [PfenningWong95]

Algorithmic typing contexts

- an algorithmic typing context Γ is a partial map over term and type variables:
 Γ(x) = (T, m), Γ(α) = (⋆, m') where T LLF type and m, m' ∈ N.
 Denote variables x and α as X, Y,...
- ► Γ is well-formed if, for all x: $(\Gamma(x) = (T, m) \land \alpha \in FTV(T)) \Rightarrow \Gamma(\alpha) = (\star, m')$ with $m' \ge m$.

If $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ then

 Γ^{+c} ok means: if $\Gamma(X) = (U, m)$, then $m + c \ge 0$.

Then Γ^{+c} is defined by:

if $\Gamma(X) = (U, m)$, then $(\Gamma^{+c})(X) = (U, m + c)$.

ALLF typing judgements:
 Γ ⊢_a t : T where Γ well-formed.

ALLF typing rules

$$\frac{\Gamma(x) = (T, 0)}{\Gamma \vdash_{a} x : T} (Var) \qquad \qquad \frac{\Gamma, x: (S, 0) \vdash_{a} t : T}{\Gamma \vdash_{a} \lambda x: |S|.t : S \multimap T} (Lam)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{a} t_{1} : S \multimap T \qquad \Gamma \vdash_{a} t_{2} : S \qquad x \in FV(t_{1}) \cap FV(t_{2}) \Rightarrow \exists T.\Gamma[x] = !T}{\Gamma \vdash_{a} t_{1}t_{2} : T} (App)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \alpha: (\star, 0) \vdash_{a} t : T \qquad \alpha \notin FTV(\Gamma)}{\Gamma \vdash_{a} \Lambda \alpha.t : \forall \alpha.T} (TLam) \qquad \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash_{a} t : \forall \alpha.T \qquad FTV(S) \subseteq dom(\Gamma)}{\Gamma \vdash_{a} t[S] : T[|S|/\alpha]} (TApp)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{a} t : !T}{\Gamma^{-1} \vdash_{a} t : !T} (Enter) \qquad \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash_{a} t : T \qquad \Gamma^{-1} \circ k}{\Gamma^{-1} \vdash_{a} t : !T} (Leave)$$

Rules Der and Dig : as in LLF.

If $\Delta = x_1 : T_1, \ldots, x_n : T_n$, then $\Delta^0 = x_1 : (T_1, 0), \ldots, x_n : (T_n, 0)$.

From LLF to ALLF, and back

Theorem (Completeness of ALLF)

If $\Gamma \vdash t : T$ and $\mathcal{E} = FTV(T) \cup FTV(t)$, then we have $(\Gamma + \mathcal{E})^0 \vdash_a t : T$.

where
$$(\Gamma + \mathcal{E})^0 = \Gamma^0 \cup \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{E}} \{ \alpha : (\star, 0) \}.$$

Note that as a particular case, if $FTV(T) \cup FTV(t) \subseteq FTV(\Gamma)$ then $\Gamma^0 \vdash_a t : T$.

Theorem (Soundness of ALLF)

Let T be an LLF type and Γ an LLF context. If $\Gamma^0 \vdash_a t : T$ then $\Gamma \vdash t : T$.

Towards type checking ? ALLF is not enough

The system ALLF is not yet ready for type checking/inference. Indeed: we have removed the Cut rule, but ... the rules handling ! are still not syntax-directed.

idea : we will group sequences of such rules into clusters. For that we define one generic !-rule.

A generic ! rule

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{a} t : !^{p}T \qquad FV(t) = FV(\Gamma) \qquad \text{condition (*)}}{\Gamma^{+c}, \Delta \vdash_{a} t : !^{q}T} (\text{ALL-!})$$

with

$$(*) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} T \text{ is not of the form } !T', \\ p \ge 0, q \ge 0 \\ \Gamma^{+c} \text{ ok} \\ (\Gamma^{-1} \text{ ok}) \lor (p \ne 0) \lor (q = c = 0) \end{array} \right.$$

Proposition

- The rule ALL-! is derivable in ALLF.
- Any sequence of rules Enter, Leave, Der, Dig in ALLF can be represented by one instance of rule ALL-!.

Parameterizing types

We will use the idea of *typing by decoration*, using parameters (used e.g. in [CoppolaMartini01], [B02], ...)

For that, we define LLF-*type schemas*:

$$T ::= A \mid T_1 \multimap T_2 \mid \forall \alpha. T \mid !^q T$$

where qs are formal parameters.

Free parameterization:

System F types LLF type schemas
$$A \longrightarrow A^T$$

Example:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} A & = & \forall \alpha. \alpha \to \alpha \\ A^T & = & !^{a} (\forall \alpha. !^{b} (!^{c} \alpha \multimap !^{d} \alpha)) \end{array}$$

Type checking algorithm: (i) constraints generation

input: in LLF, (Γ, t, T) we will construct a *parameterized derivation* (parameters in types and for levels).

- schemas $|T|^T$ and $|\Gamma(x)|^T$
- initial constraints
- start from (|Γ|^T + E)⁰ ⊢_a t : |T|^T and apply bottom-up, alternatively:
 - ALL-! rule, and introduce fresh parameters,
 - syntax-directed logical rule.

At each step collect side-conditions and unification conditions, \to system ${\cal C}$ of arithmetic constraints.

Constraints

constraints C generated:

 $\begin{array}{ll} a \geq 0 & (\text{nonnegativity}) \\ a \neq 0 & (\text{shared variables in App}) \\ a + b = c & (\text{coupling of premise and conclusion}) \\ a \neq 0 \lor b \neq 0 \lor c = 0 & ((\text{ALL-!}) \text{ rule}) \end{array}$

 \rightarrow We need a generalization of (conjunctive) linear constraints. Horn disjunctive linear relations (Horn DLR):

$$\wedge_{i=1}^{N}(I_{i,1} \vee I_{i,2} \vee \cdots \vee I_{i,n_i})$$

where for each *i*, among the $I_{i,j}$ linear conditions there are:

disequations (\neq) and **at most one** inequality (\leq) .

Theorem (Jonsson and Bäckström '96) Satisfiability of Horn DLR over \mathbb{Q} is decidable in polynomial time. Type checking algorithm: (ii) constraints resolution

 $\ensuremath{\mathcal{C}}$ constraint system generated.

Proposition If ϕ is a solution of C and $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, then $\phi' = k.\phi$ is a solution too.

As \mathcal{C} belongs to the class of Horn DLR:

- ▶ by the previous Theorem it can be decided in polynomial time over Q,
- hence by this Proposition it can be decided in polynomial time over Z.

Example

does $x:!(!B \multimap C), y:!(A \multimap B), z:!A \vdash x(yz): !C hold ?$

$$\frac{\frac{1}{x:(|^{a_{1}}(|^{b_{1}}B \multimap C), c_{3}) \vdash_{a} x: |^{j_{1}}(|^{j_{1}}B \multimap |^{h_{2}}C)}{x:(|^{a_{1}}(|^{b_{1}}B \multimap C), c_{2}) \vdash_{a} x: |^{i_{1}}B \multimap |^{h_{2}}C} \xrightarrow{Var_{1}} \frac{1}{y:(|^{d_{1}}(A \multimap B), e_{3}), z:(|^{f_{1}}A, g_{3}) \vdash_{a} yz: |^{j_{2}}B}{y:(|^{d_{1}}(A \multimap B), e_{3}), z:(|^{f_{1}}A, g_{3}) \vdash_{a} yz: |^{j_{2}}B} \xrightarrow{App} ALL-!_{3}} \frac{1}{x:(|^{a_{1}}(|^{i_{1}}B \multimap C), c_{2}), y:(|^{d_{1}}(A \multimap B), e_{3}), z:(|^{f_{1}}A, g_{3}) \vdash_{a} yz: |^{j_{2}}B}{y:(|^{d_{1}}(A \multimap B), e_{3}), z:(|^{f_{1}}A, g_{3}) \vdash_{a} x(yz): |^{h_{2}}C} \xrightarrow{App} ALL-!_{3}} \frac{1}{x:(|^{a_{1}}(|^{i_{1}}B \multimap C), c_{2}), y:(|^{d_{1}}(A \multimap B), e_{3}), z:(|^{f_{1}}A, g_{3}) \vdash_{a} x(yz): |^{h_{2}}C}{x:(|^{a_{1}}(|^{i_{1}}B \multimap C), c_{1}), y:(|^{d_{1}}(A \multimap B), e_{1}), z:(|^{f_{1}}A, g_{1}) \vdash_{a} x(yz): |^{h_{1}}C} \xrightarrow{ALL-!_{3}} \frac{1}{x}$$

Constraints:

 $\begin{aligned} & \text{Var}_{1} \qquad j_{1} = a_{1}, c_{3} = 0, i_{1} = b_{1}, h_{2} = 0 \\ & \text{I}_{3} \qquad e_{2} = e_{3} + C_{3}, g_{2} = g_{3} + C_{3}, (e_{3} \neq 0 \land g_{3} \neq 0) \lor i_{2} \neq 0 \lor i_{1} = C_{3} = 0 \\ & \text{I}_{2} \qquad \begin{cases} c_{2} = c_{3} + C_{2}, \\ c_{3} \neq 0 \lor j_{1} \neq 0 \lor C_{2} = 0 \\ \\ & \text{I}_{1} \qquad \begin{cases} c_{1} = c_{2} + C_{1}, e_{1} = e_{2} + C_{1}, g_{1} = g_{2} + C_{1}, \\ (c_{2} \neq 0 \land e_{2} \neq 0 \land g_{2} \neq 0) \lor h_{2} \neq 0 \lor h_{1} = C_{1} = 0 \\ \\ & \text{Positivity} \qquad \{a \dots k\}_{\{1\dots 4\}} \ge 0. \\ & \text{Initial} \qquad c_{1} = e_{1} = g_{1} = 0, a_{1} \neq 0, b_{1} \neq 0, d_{1} \neq 0, f_{1} \neq 0, h_{1} \neq 0 \end{aligned}$

Type checking and type inference

Theorem (Decidability of LLF type checking)

Let Γ be an LLF-context, t a term, T an LLF-type. One can decide in polynomial time whether $\Gamma \vdash t : T$ holds.

Type inference:

Given a system F judgement $\Gamma \vdash t : A$, the system of constraints generated from $(\Gamma^{T} + \mathcal{E})^{0} \vdash_{a} t : A^{T}$ gives a polynomial-sized description of all LLF decorations of this judgement. \Rightarrow solution of the type inference problem.

Conclusion and perspectives

 we have given efficient, constraints-based algorithms for type-checking and type inference of system F Church terms in LLF.

algorithmic system analogous to [GuerriniMartiniMasini08] method to build the algorithmic system:

"decompose and clusterize" the ! rules.

- application also to S4 type systems (e.g. Pfenning-Wong'95)
- future work:

remove restriction on the cut-rule could we infer also sharing of subterms ?