

Plan of the Talk

- Long-Running Transactions (LRTs) [NestedSagas]
- A renewed interest in LRTs [BPMN,WS-BPEL]
- The JOLIE orchestration language
- Dynamic compensations in JOLIE

Plan of the Talk

Long-Running Transactions (LRTs) [NestedSagas]

A renewed interest in LRTs [BPMN,WS-BPEL]

- The JOLIE orchestration language
- Dynamic compensations in JOLIE



A First Solution

 Use of nested (standard) transactions Standard transactions are ACID A = atomic (all or nothing) C = consistent (w.r.t. the application logic) I = isolated (unobservable) D = durable (persistent) ACIDity implies a perfect roll-back Not satisfactory The whole transaction may require a long period: resources may be locked for the whole transaction







Plan of the Talk

 Long-Running Transactions (LRTs) [NestedSagas]

A renewed interest in LRTs [BPMN,WS-BPEL]

The JOLIE orchestration language

Dynamic compensations in JOLIE



Web Service Orchestration

 WS-BPEL [OASIS standard]: Language for Web Service Orchestration

 Description of the message exchanged among Web Services that cooperate in a business process

• **BPMN** [OMG standard]:

Graphical notation for business procedures

BPMN: Business Process Modeling Notation

Selection of a Nobel Prize laureate







LRTs in WS-BPEL

<scope name="mainScope"> <faultHandlers> <catchAll> <compensateScope target="invoiceSubmissionScope" /> </catchAll> </faultHandlers> <sequence> é e e l <scope name="invoiceSubmissionScope"> . . . <compensationHandler> <invoke name="withdrawInvoiceSubmission" ... /> </compensationHandler> <invoke name="submitInvoice" ... /> </scope> <!-- do additional work --> <!-- a fault is thrown here; results of invoiceSubmissionScope must be undone --> </sequence> REVER - Paris 19/1/2012 </scope>



JOLIE: programming orchestrators with a C / Java like syntax execution { concurrent } cset { request.id } interface myInterface { **OneWay:** login RequestResponse: get data inputPort myPort { Protocol: http **Location:** "socket://localhost:2000" **Interfaces:** myInterface } main login(request) ; get data(request) (response) { response.data = "your data" + request.id REVER - Paris 19/1/2012

JOLIE: basic communication primitives

Data are exchanged by means of operations

Two types of operations:

One-Way: receives a message;

Request-Response: receives a message and sends a response to the caller.



A sends 5 to B through the sendNumber operation.

JOLIE: basic communication primitives



Two types of operations:

One-Way: receives a message; **Request-Response: receives a message and sends a response to the caller.**



JOLIE: communication ports

A should know how to contact B B should expose the operation "twice" Two types of ports:

Input ports: expose operations

Δ.

Output ports: bind output operations to input operations



B:

JOLIE: communication ports

A should know how to contact B B should expose the operation "twice" Two types of ports:

Input ports: expose operations

Output ports: bind output operations to input operations



JOLIE: communication ports

A should know how to contact B B should expose the operation "twice"

Two types of ports:

Input ports: expose operations

Output ports: bind output operations to input operations

outputPort B {	inputPort MyInput {
 Location: "socket://192.168.1.2:8000/"	Location: < "socket://localhost:8000/"
Protocol:	Protocol:
soap	soap
RequestResponse:	RequestResponse: < Interface
twice(int)(int)	twice(int)(int)
······	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
main	main
£	E C
twice@B(5)(x)	twice(x) (result)
·····}	$\{\text{result} = \mathbf{x} * 2\}$
	} REVER - Paris 19/1/2012

JOLIE: work- and control-flow

Basic activities can be combined with sequence, parallel and choice constructs...

sequence:	<pre>send@S(x) ; receive(msg)</pre>
parallel:	<pre>send@S(x) receive(msg)</pre>
choice:	[recv1(x)] { } [recv2(x)] { }

... as well as the usual control flow constructs

if then else: if $(x > 1) \{ ... \}$ else $\{ ... \}$

for: for (i = 0, i < n, i++) { ... }

while: while(i < 0) { ... }</pre>









•Scopes have a name q, an activity P, and a set of fault handlers H

•They are organized in a hierarchy

When a fault is raised, it goes up in the hierarchy until it reaches a handler
While going up, parallel scopes are interrupted



•Scopes have a name q, an activity P, and a set of fault handlers H

•They are organized in a hierarchy

When a fault is raised, it goes up in the hierarchy until it reaches a handler
While going up, parallel scopes are interrupted

Ρ



 Scopes have a name q, an activity P, and a set of fault handlers H

•They are organized in a hierarchy

•When a fault is raised, it goes up in the hierarchy until it reaches a handler

•While going up, parallel scopes are interrupted



 Scopes have a name q, an activity P, and a set of fault handlers H

•They are organized in a hierarchy

•When a fault is raised, it goes up in the hierarchy until it reaches a handler

•While going up, parallel scopes are interrupted



 Scopes have a name q, an activity P, and a set of fault handlers H

•They are organized in a hierarchy

When a fault is raised, it goes up in the hierarchy until it reaches a handler
While going up, parallel scopes are interrupted

throw(f)



 Scopes have a name q, an activity P, and a set of fault handlers H

•They are organized in a hierarchy

When a fault is raised, it goes up in the hierarchy until it reaches a handler
While going up, parallel scopes are interrupted

throw(f)



 Scopes have a name q, an activity P, and a set of fault handlers H

•They are organized in a hierarchy

When a fault is raised, it goes up in the hierarchy until it reaches a handler
While going up, parallel scopes are interrupted

(f,Q) \mathbf{O} q₁ (q_1, T_1) T₁ \mathbf{q}_2 (q_2, T_2) throw(f) T₂ REVER - Paris 19/1/2012

Dynamic fault handling

 In Nested SAGAS, WS-BPEL, BPMN, etc. the fault handlers are statically defined In JOLIE fault handlers can be dynamically modified We use an installation primitive that explicitly installs the handlers The new handlers can be defined as modifications of the previous ones





Consider:

```
{throw(f) |
while (i <100) if i%2=0 then P else Q, H}
</pre>
```

 When f is thrown, execute P' and Q' to undo the instances of P and Q in the order in which they have been executed

{ throw(f) |

```
while (i <100) if i%2=0
```

then P; inst (cH; P')

else Q;inst(cH;Q'), H}





Reserve a hotel and a public transportation
 Take the train, or in case of failure (notified with *fT*) take a bus

 $\{ inst([fT \mapsto Bus; inst([q \mapsto cH; revBus])]); \\ Hotel; inst([q \mapsto revHotel]); \\ Train; inst([q \mapsto cH; revTrain])\}_{q}$

Faults and Request-responses

 The JOLIE fault handling mechanism does not spoil request-responses

 In this way non-trivial distributed fault handling policies can be programmed



Faults on server side

- A client asks a payment to the bank, the bank fails
- In ActiveBPEL (a largely used BPEL engine) the client receives a generic "missing-reply" exception
- Our approach
 - The exact fault is notified to the client
 - The notification acts as a fault for the client
 - Suitable actions can be taken to manage the remote fault

Faults on client side

- A client asks a payment to the bank, then fails before the answer
- In BPEL the return message is discarded
- Our approach
 - The return message is waited for
 - The handlers can be updated according to whether or not a non-faulty message is received
 - The remote activity can be compensated if necessary

Conclusion and Future work....

- We have seen some model for compensation
- Future work:
 - How to combine reversibility and compensation?...

