Retractable Contracts And Beyond

Ivan Lanese Computer Science Department University of Bologna/INRIA Italy

Joint work with Franco Barbanera, Mariangiola Dezani-Ciancaglini and Ugo de'Liguoro

Map of the talk

- Why retractable contracts?
- What is a retractable contract?
- What is a speculative contract?
- What is beyond?



Map of the talk

• Why retractable contracts?

- What is a retractable contract?
- What is a speculative contract?
- What is beyond?



Undoing things considered harmful

- Undo operations are useful and widespread
 - Undo command in your favorite editor
 - Back button in your favorite browser
 - Restore a past backup
- In interactions (unilateral) undo may lead to unpredictable or undesired results
 - What happens if you press the back button of the browser while reserving a flight?
 - You don't want a client to be able to undo her payment after a purchase
- Undo activities must be disciplined



Contracts



- A (binary) contract is the abstract description of the behaviour of a client or a server
- A client complies with a server if all her requirements are fulfilled
 - by reaching a distinguished satisfaction state or
 - by running an infinite interaction without ever getting stuck
- A client that does not comply with its server may get stuck

Retractable contracts

- We start from binary contracts
- Getting stuck may depend on wrong choices taken during the interaction
- Going back to past choices and trying different paths may solve the problem
- This will "facilitate" compliance
- We explore a notion of contracts where past decisions are stored and can be undone

Map of the talk

• Why retractable contracts?

- What is a retractable contract?
- What is a speculative contract?
- What is beyond?





• We add \circ (no more alternatives) to contracts σ

- Histories are stacks of contracts $h::=[]|h:\sigma$
- Contracts with history: $h \prec \sigma$

Motivating problem

- A buyer wants to buy either a bag or a belt
- She will decide whether to pay by card or cash after knowing the price

 $Buyer = \overline{bag} . price . (\overline{card} \oplus \overline{cash}) \oplus \overline{belt} . price . (\overline{card} \oplus \overline{cash})$

- The seller accepts cards only for bags, not for belts
 - Seller = bag. \overline{price} . (card+cash)+belt. \overline{price} . cash
- Buyer and seller are not compliant
- They become compliant if we make, e.g., the buyer choice between bag and belt retractable
- The buyer is still able to pay a belt with card if interacting with a seller allowing this

Motivating problem

- A buyer wants to buy either a bag or a belt
- She will decide whether to pay by card or cash after knowing the price

 $Buyer = \overline{bag} . price . (\overline{card} \oplus \overline{cash}) + \overline{belt} . price . (\overline{card} \oplus \overline{cash})$

• The seller accepts cards only for bags, not for belts

Seller = bag. \overline{price} . (card+cash)+belt. \overline{price} . cash

- Buyer and seller are not compliant
- They become compliant if we make, e.g., the buyer choice between bag and belt retractable
- The buyer is still able to pay a belt with card if interacting with a seller allowing this

Retractable contracts: semantics

- Contracts are executed as usual but...
- ... branches in external choices which are not selected are stored in the history
- When the interaction is stuck, both client and server can pop from the history the last state

• Buyer =

 $[] \prec \overline{bag}$. price. $(\overline{card} \oplus \overline{cash}) + \overline{belt}$. price. $(\overline{card} \oplus \overline{cash})$

Seller = [] < bag. price.(card+cash)+belt. price.cash

- Buyer =
 - $[] \prec \overline{bag}. price.(\overline{card} \oplus \overline{cash}) + \overline{belt}. price.(\overline{card} \oplus \overline{cash})$
- → []: \overline{bag} . price. $(\overline{card} \oplus \overline{cash})$ < price. $(\overline{card} \oplus \overline{cash})$

- Seller =
- [] < bag. price.(card+cash)+belt. price.cash</pre>
 → []:bag. price.(card+cash) < price.cash</pre>

- Buyer =
 - $[] \prec \overline{bag}. price.(\overline{card} \oplus \overline{cash}) + \overline{belt}. price.(\overline{card} \oplus \overline{cash})$
- → []: \overline{bag} . price. $(\overline{card} \oplus \overline{cash})$ < price. $(\overline{card} \oplus \overline{cash})$
- → []: \overline{bag} . price. $(\overline{card} \oplus \overline{cash})$: $\sim \overline{card} \oplus \overline{cash}$
- Seller =
 - $[] \prec bag. \overline{price}.(card+cash)+belt. \overline{price}.cash$
- → []:bag. \overline{price} .(card+cash) < \overline{price} .cash
- → []:bag. price.(card+cash):∘ < cash</pre>

• Buyer = []: \overline{bag} . price. $(\overline{card} \oplus \overline{cash})$: $\prec \overline{card} \oplus \overline{cash}$

Seller = []: bag. price.(card+cash): ~ ≺ cash

- Buyer =
 - $[]: \overline{bag}. price. (\overline{card} \oplus \overline{cash}): \circ \prec \overline{card} \oplus \overline{cash}$
- → []: \overline{bag} . price. $(\overline{card} \oplus \overline{cash})$: $\sim \overline{card}$

- Seller =
 - []: bag. price.(card+cash):∘ ≺ cash



• Buyer = []: \overline{bag} . price. $(\overline{card} \oplus \overline{cash})$: $\prec \overline{card}$

Seller = []: bag. price.(card+cash): ~ ≺ cash

- Buyer =
 - $[]: \overline{bag}. price. (\overline{card} \oplus \overline{cash}): \circ \prec \overline{card}$
- → []: \overline{bag} . price. $(\overline{card} \oplus \overline{cash})$ < \circ

Seller =

[]: bag. price.(card+cash): < < cash
→ []: bag. price.(card+cash) < <

- Buyer =
 - $[]: \overline{bag}. price. (\overline{card} \oplus \overline{cash}): \circ \prec \overline{card}$
- → []: \overline{bag} . price. $(\overline{card} \oplus \overline{cash})$ < \circ
- → [] < \overline{bag} .price. $(\overline{card} \oplus \overline{cash})$
 - Seller =
 - []: bag. price.(card+cash):∘ ≺ cash
- → []:bag. \overline{price} .(card+cash) < \circ
- → [] < bag. price.(card+cash)</pre>

• Buyer = [] $\prec \overline{bag}$. price. $(\overline{card} \oplus \overline{cash})$

Seller =
 [] ≺ bag. price.(card+cash)

Buyer =

[] ≺ bag. price.(card⊕cash)
→ []: ~ < price.(card⊕cash)

Seller =

 [] ≺ bag. price.(card+cash)

 → []:∘ ≺ price.(card+cash)

Buyer =

✓ bag. price.(card⊕cash)

✓ []:○ < price.(card⊕cash)
✓ []:○:○ < card⊕cash

• Seller =

[] < bag. price.(card+cash) → []: ○ < price.(card+cash) → []: ○: ○ < card+cash</pre>

• Buyer = []: \circ : \circ \prec $\overline{card} \oplus \overline{cash}$

Seller =
 []:∘:∘ ≺ card+cash

Buyer =

 []:∘:∘ ≺ card ⊕ cash

 → []:∘:∘ ≺ card

• Seller = $[]:\circ:\circ \prec card+cash$

- Buyer =

 []:∘:∘ ≺ card⊕cash

 → []:∘:∘ ≺ card
 → []:∘:∘:∘ ≺ 1
 - Seller =

 []:∘:∘ ≺ card+cash
 []:∘:∘:cash ≺ 1

Compliance

- We can use the standard notion of compliance
 - If the computation gets stuck, then the client is satisfied
- We can define a formal system to decide compliance
- The main novelty is that two external choices are compliant iff there exists a compatible branch

 $\Gamma, \alpha.\rho+\rho' \dashv \overline{\alpha}.\sigma+\sigma' \triangleright \rho \dashv \sigma$

 $\Gamma \triangleright \alpha.\rho+\rho' \dashv \overline{\alpha}.\sigma+\sigma'$

- The formal system is correct, complete and terminating, hence it can be transformed into a procedure
- The procedure requires $O(n^5)$
 - More than for standard contracts

Duality and subcontract relation

- From the notion of compliance we can define a notion of subcontract
 - Replacing a contract with a subcontract preserves compliance
- The syntactic dual is also a semantic dual
 - The more general compliant contract



Map of the talk

- Why retractable contracts?
- What is a retractable contract?
- What is a speculative contract?
- What is beyond?



Speculative contracts

- We start again from binary contracts
- For efficiency reasons one may want to try different options concurrently
- As soon as one of them succeeds, the whole computation is successful
- We use the same syntax that we used for retractable contracts
 - Now external choice among outputs has the speculative behaviour above
 - External since the environment can slow down undesired paths selecting the one he wants to succeed

Speculative contracts: results

- The decision procedure for retractable contracts and for speculative contracts coincide
- As a consequence, all the results about compliance, duality and subcontract apply

Map of the talk

- Why retractable contracts?
- What is a retractable contract?
- What is a speculative contract?
- What is beyond?



Summary

- We presented a model of contracts with retractable choice
 - Compliance
 - Subcontract relation
 - Duality
- Simple and neat extension of the theory of binary contracts
- Using retractable choice instead of normal choice extends the set of compliant contracts
- The same theory captures also speculative execution

What is beyond?



- Explore the notion of retractable contracts in multiparty sessions
- Are there other meaningful ways to exploit contracts/behavioural types to control reversibility?
- Are there other useful computational patterns that can be tamed using contracts?

End of talk



Franco Barbanera, Mariangiola Dezani-Ciancaglini, Ugo de'Liguoro: Compliance for reversible client/server interactions. BEAT 2014 also considered contracts with rollback

VS

VS

- BEAT 2014
- Free rollback vs
- Explicit checkpoint vs
- One checkpoint vs
- Compliance harder

PLACES 2015 rollback only when stuck implicit checkpoint stack of checkpoints compliance easier